

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/679,880 | 10/06/2003 | David Delgado | 14099/YOD ITWO:0068 | 3839 | |
| 75 | 590 05/08/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Patrick S. Yoder FLETCHER YODER | | | KERNS, KEVIN P | | |
| P.O. Box 69228 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Houston, TX | 77269-2289 | | 1725 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/08/2000 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | $\langle - \rangle$ | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/679,880 | DELGADO, DAVID | ` | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kevin P. Kerns | 1725 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence addi | ress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA | CATION. sply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 | April 2006 | | | | | |
| | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ' | | ers prosecution as to the r | nerite ie | | | |
| | lince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is losed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | | ., | • | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-27,35 and 37-42</u> is/are pending | in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | awn from consideration. | • | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-27,35 and 37-42</u> is/are rejected | • | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,35 and 40</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003 and</u> | | a) accepted or b) obje | ected to by the | | | |
| Examiner. | | , , , , | • | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | e drawing(s) be held in abeyand | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ection is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 CFR | R 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTC |)-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigal All b) Some * c) None of: | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received. | • | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received in Ap | plication No | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the pri | iority documents have been i | received in this National St | tage | | | |
| application from the International Bure | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | st of the certified copies not r | eceived. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmont/c\ | | , | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) 🗖 Intended 0 | ummary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | |)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | formal Patent Application (PTO-1 | 152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 10, 35, and 40 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 10, 5th line, replace "this" with "the" before "handle". In claim 35, 4th line, delete "member" after "coils". In claim 40, 2nd line, delete "the" after "wherein", and replace "[[the]]" with "the". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and/or 102(a) as being anticipated by the applicant's admitted prior art (specification; paragraph [0003]).

The applicant's admitted prior art includes the following: "Welding implements have been developed to enable the torch to have a degree of flexibility so that the electrode may be positioned relative to a user's hand. In a liquid-cooled torch, the flexibility is achieved by using a series of coiled tubes to secure the torch head to the torch. A shield gas is conveyed through the interior of one of the tubes. Additional tubes are used to convey cooling liquid to and from the torch head. The tubes are

coiled around each other and may be flexed to reposition the torch head." This admitted prior art disclosure includes a flexible welding implement that comprises a torch head operable to couple electricity to a welding electrode disposed therein; a gas supply tube; cooling fluid supply and return tubes; and a plurality of biasing members (in the form of a series of coiled tubes, or springs, flexibly coiled around each other) that are operable to flexibly couple the (inflexible and/or uncoiled portions) of the gas supply and cooling fluid supply and return tubes to the torch head, while also serving as a tripod support system, in the form of three springs/coils that are flexibly secured to the torch head while being disposed generally parallel with one another and with an axis of a handle supporting the torch head (specification; paragraph [0003]).

4. Claims 1, 3, 10, 11, 13-25, 35, and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keller et al. (US 4,145,595).

Keller et al. disclose a flexible gas-shielded arc-welding torch, in which the torch includes an attached handle portion 11; a torch head (torch barrel 12) operable to couple electricity to a welding electrode 13 disposed therein; a cooling fluid supply tube, in the form of a pressurized gas from a gas source via connector 27, operable to convey the cooling fluid to the torch head 12; and a first biasing member (bendable conductive helix 25 that provides gas and current), in which the helix 25 is operable to flexibly couple the cooling fluid supply tube to the torch head 12 (abstract; column 2, lines 41-68; column 3, lines 1-25 and 48-68; column 4, line 1 through column 5, line 27; and Figures 1-5). Because the helix is embedded in and cushioned by an elastomeric

material (serving as a tube support member), it is also feasible to make a double helix (forming a plurality of biasing members) of tubular <u>or solid</u> wire when it is necessary to supply a cooling liquid having both a flow inlet (supply line) and a flow outlet (return line) to the torch (both lines of which would include axial flow components), the combination of which would serve as a tripod support system, in the form of three springs/coils that are flexibly secured to the torch head while being disposed generally parallel with one another and with an axis of a handle supporting the torch head (column 5, lines 19-27; and Figures 4 and 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller et al. (US 4,145,595) in view of Delgado et al. (US 6,855,905).

Keller et al. disclose the elements of claims 1 and 3. Keller et al. does not specifically disclose the coupling of tubes via the plurality of biasing members.

However, Delgado et al. disclose a flexible welding torch having a restraining member, in which the welding torch further includes a torch head 32 operable to couple electricity to a welding electrode 24 disposed therein; a cooling fluid supply tube, in the

form of a gas from cylinder 26, operable to convey the cooling fluid to the torch head 32; a first biasing member (coil assembly 36), in which the coil 40 of the coil assembly 36 is operable to flexibly couple the cooling fluid supply tube to the torch head 32; a flexible tube 38 disposed over the coil assembly 36; and coupling members (42,44) of the coil assembly 36, which are operable to couple tubes between the torch head and the gas and coolant supply, as well as coolant return, for the purpose of providing flexibility while limiting relative displacement of the coupling members (abstract; column 2, lines 2-24 and 60-67; column 3, lines 1-67; column 4, lines 1-61; and Figures 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the flexible welding torch disclosed by Keller et al., by coupling the tubes via the biasing members, as taught by Delgado et al., in order to provide flexibility while limiting relative displacement of the coupling members (Delgado et al.; abstract; and column 2, lines 6-24).

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller et al. (US 4,145,595) in view of Rehrig (US 5,403,987).

Keller et al. disclose the elements of claims 10 and 11. Keller et al. do not specifically disclose the use of heat shrink tubing for the flexible tube.

However, Rehrig discloses a flexible gas-shielded welding torch, in which the torch includes a torch head (12,16) operable to couple electricity to a welding electrode 18 disposed therein; a cooling fluid supply tube, in the form of a pressurized gas from a gas source via connector 50, operable to convey the cooling fluid to the torch head

(12,16); a first biasing member (bendable metal helix 46 that provides gas and current), in which the helix 46 is operable to flexibly couple the cooling fluid supply tube to the torch head (12,16); and heat-resistant sealing tape 52 in the form of a heat shrinkable pliable sleeve, in which the heat shrink sleeve is advantageous for providing isolation and insulation to the metal helix (abstract; column 3, lines 7-53; column 4, lines 4-68; column 5, lines 1-68; column 6, lines 1-46; and Figures 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the flexible welding torch disclosed by Keller et al., by using heat shrink tubing for the flexible tube, as taught by Rehrig, in order to provide isolation and insulation to the metal helix (Rehrig; abstract; and column 6, lines 37-46).

8. Claims 26, 27, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller et al. (US 4,145,595) in view of Willgohs et al. (US 3,999,033).

Keller et al. disclose the elements of claims 18, 25, 35, 40 and 41. Keller et al. do not disclose the use of wires braided together as a deformable support member.

However, Willgohs et al. disclose an arc welding torch having a flexible wire guide assembly, in which the assembly includes helically wound flexible wires braided together as the deformable support member, which is advantageous for providing a readily flexible wire guide assembly capable of universal adjustment without kinking and flattening during bending, thus having improved strength (abstract; column 2, lines 10-47 and 62-68; column 3, line 1 through column 5, line 13; and Figures 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/679,880 Page 7

Art Unit: 1725

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the flexible welding torch disclosed by Keller et al., by using wires that are braided together as a deformable support member, as taught by Willgohs et al., in order to provide a readily flexible wire guide assembly capable of universal adjustment without kinking and flattening during bending, thus having improved strength (Willgohs et al.; column 2, lines 43-47).

Response to Arguments

- 9. The examiner acknowledges the applicant's amendment and annotated drawing sheet provided with the request for continued examination received by the USPTO on April 24, 2006. The annotated drawing sheet is now in agreement with the replacement drawing sheet of December 1, 2005, and thus overcomes prior objections to the drawings. However, new claim objections have been raised by amendments to claims 10, 35, and 40 (see paragraph 1). The applicant's amendments to claims 1 and 35 have overcome the prior 35 USC 102(b)/(a) rejections based on the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA), although claims 18-21 remain rejected under 35 USC 102(b)/(a) in view of the AAPA (see paragraph 3). The applicant has cancelled claim 2. Claims 1, 3-27, 35, and 37-42 are currently under consideration in the application.
- 10. Applicant's remarks filed April 24, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,880

Art Unit: 1725

With regard to the applicant's remarks on page 9 of the amendment, it is noted that the applicant has provided very few remarks and has instead relied upon amendments to all independent claims 1, 10, 18, and 35. Although the examiner agrees that the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) is no longer valid in view of amendments to independent claims 1 and 35, as the AAPA teaches "tubes", rather than "non-tubular" material, the "non-tubular" limitation has not been included in independent claim 18, for which the AAPA rejection still applies. The applicant is referred to the newly underlined portion of the AAPA for additional text that addresses the new limitation of claim 18 (see paragraph 3). With regard to the 35 USC 102(b) rejections based on Keller et al., the applicant is referred to the newly underlined portions of above paragraph 4, as Keller et al. include either tubular or solid wires that are generally parallel with one another and with an axis of a handle supporting the torch head, while having axial flow components (Keller et al.; column 5, lines 19-27; and Figures 3-5). The response to the applicant's arguments in paragraph 11 of the final rejection mailed January 18, 2006 has previously addressed the "axial" flow limitations in detail.

Page 8

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns Kern ferm 4/29/06 Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

Page 9

KPK kpk April 29, 2006